

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,120 08/25/2003		08/25/2003	Frank Jeroen Pieter Schuurmans	081468-0305605	9830
909	7590	07/27/2004		EXAMINER	
PILLSBUR P.O. BOX 1		HROP, LLP	LEYBOURNE, JAMES J		
MCLEAN,		2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2881	

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	4	K

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
·	10/647,120 SCHUURMANS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	James J. Leybourne	2881
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	 In no event, however, may a replepty within the statutory minimum of thirty (and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ute, cause the application to become ABAN 	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
,	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	<u> </u>	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 082503.		Mail Date nmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 20030043455 to Singer et al., hereinafter referred to as "Singer '455'", in view of US 20040065817 to Singer et al., hereinafter referred to as "Singer '817'" and in still further view of Glavish et al. (USPN 4916322).

In Singer '455', Fig. 11, Singer et al. disclose a projection exposure system comprising an extreme ultraviolet illumination system for radiation of wavelength ≤ 0.193 mu.m that comprises a mirror shell reflector assembly **180** comprising a plurality of mirror shells rotationally symmetric around an optical axis. [0003]. There is a reflection reticle **114** and a projection objective **126** that focuses the reticle onto a substrate mounted on table **124** [0040]. The reflectors are coated with a noble metal such as gold or rhodium [0032]. Using grazing incidence, the reflectivity is greater than 70% [0035].

Regarding claims 2-4, 8, 10-12 and 16, Singer '455' does not teach using a radiative layer having an emissivity of between 0.6 and 0.95 or between 0.6 and 0.95 covering the backing layer of the outer reflector, the use of carbon as the backing layer or the use of radiation fins on the outer reflector.

Singer '817' discloses a collector for EUV radiation wherein cooling plates may be laid on the mirror shells so that the heat is removed by radiation [0039].

Application/Control Number: 10/647,120

Art Unit: 2881

Glavish et al. teach that, for radiation cooling, providing additional cooling surfaces may increase cooling and that radiation cooling is directly proportional to the emissivity, the area of the sheet and the solid angle. An emissivity of 0.6 is applicable to silicon surfaces and an emissivity of 0.1 is typical of metal surfaces. For this reason metal masks are desirably coated with a material such as graphite (column 9, lines 5-9).

Page 3

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide radiation cooling for the backing layer of the outer reflector, of Singer '455', as taught by Singer '817', using a radiative coating with high emissivity, such as carbon, and to add fins to increase the area as taught by Glavish et al. because Singer '817' teaches radiation cooling is particularly advantageous if deformation would occur between cooling devices and the mirror shell due to thermal expansion [0039].

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James J. Leybourne whose telephone number is (571) 272-2478. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00- 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2477. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/647,120

Art Unit: 2881

July 22, 2004

JJL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000